Improved imaging of colorectal liver metastases using single-source, fast kVp-switching, dual-energy CT: preliminary results
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Abstract

Purpose: Computed tomography remains the first-choice modality for assessment of colorectal cancer liver metastases (CRLM). Dual-energy computed tomography (DECT) is a relatively new technique that is becoming increasingly available. One of the advantages of DECT is the ability to maximise iodine detection. Our aim was to test whether single-source, fast kVp-switching DECT can improve imaging quality of CRLM compared to conventional (poly-chromatic) CT.

Material and methods: Twenty consecutive patients were enrolled into a preliminary prospective study. The scanning protocol consisted of four phases: non-contrast with standard 120 kV tube voltage and three post-contrast phases with rapid voltage switching. As a result, three sets of images were reconstructed: pre- and postcontrast polychromatic (PR), monochromatic (MR), and iodine concentration map (IM). To compare the sensitivity of the tested reconstructions, the number of CRLMs and the maximum diameter of the largest lesion were calculated. Objective image quality was measured as signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR). The radiation dose was expressed as CTDIvol.

Results: Imaging was successfully performed in all patients. The number of detected lesions was significantly lower on PR images than on IM and MR 50-70 keV (mean number: 4.20 and 4.45, respectively). IM and MR at 70 keV presented the highest quality. SNR was significantly higher for IM and 70 keV images than for other reconstructions. The mean radiation dose was 14.61 mGy for non-contrast 120 kV scan and 17.89 mGy for single DECT scan (p < 0.05).

Conclusions: DECT is a promising tool for CRLM imaging. IM and low-photon energy MR present the highest differences in contrast between metastases and the normal liver parenchyma.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common malignancy and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide [1]. Each year, 1.36 million new cases of CRC are diagnosed, affecting 17.2 per 100,000 people. Approximately 25% of patients present with metastases at the time of diagnosis, with the liver being most commonly affected by metastatic lesions. Moreover, almost a half of patients with CRC will develop metastases during treatment [2]. Since both the location and number of metastases are crucial for surgical resection, preoperative liver imaging is of great importance [3-6]. Detailed presentation of lesions is important when precisely targeted methods of treatment are intended to be used, including laparoscopy, percutaneous ablation, chemoembolisation, and radioembolisation [7-9].
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Currently, contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are the most commonly used modalities for preoperative imaging of colorectal liver metastasis (CRLM), with sensitivity rates of 70-86% and specificity rates of 93-95% [5,6]. Newer MRI techniques, including MR elastography, are still a work in progress [10]. Although conventional ultrasonography (US) is of limited value for detecting CRLM [11], recent studies suggest that contrast-enhanced US (CEUS), intraoperative US (IOUS), and intraoperative contrast-enhanced US (CEIOUS) may have better performance, with sensitivity and specificity of up to 94% and 77%, respectively [12-14]. Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) and FDG-PET/CT are usually performed only in patients after adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy. However, the sensitivity and specificity of FDG-PET/CT in naive patients are as high as 97% [5].

Despite its limited diagnostic performance, CT remains the first-choice modality for liver metastases screening in patients with CRC, preoperative estimation of the remnant liver size, and defining vascular anatomy [15]. Dual-energy CT (DECT) is a promising technique that can increase the diagnostic accuracy of CT in detecting CRLM because it allows material decomposition [16] and mapping of iodine uptake in hepatic lesions [17]. Moreover, DECT has been shown to improve image contrast in suboptimal contrast conditions [18]. Since CRLMs are usually hypovascular, dual-energy techniques may significantly increase the sensitivity of CT in detecting these lesions.

In this study, we aimed to test whether single-source fast kVp-switching DECT can improve imaging quality of colorectal liver metastases compared to conventional polychromatic CT.

**Material and methods**

**Patients**

A total of 20 consecutive patients (11 men and nine women, aged 33-79 years [mean age 62 years] were enrolled between January and July 2016 into this preliminary prospective study. We included patients who were scheduled for partial hepatectomy due to CRLM. Exclusion criteria were as follows: age below 18 years, pregnancy or lactation, metallic implants in the abdomen, and contraindications to iodinated contrast media. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the study was approved by our University Review Board.

**DECT scanning protocol**

Examinations were performed with a Discovery CT 750HD scanner (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, USA) using a dual-energy spectral CT in a GEM technology (Gemstone Spectral Imaging). It is a DECT technique based on rapid switching between high- and low-energy photon emission from a single source [19]. Tube voltage switching between 80 and 140 kV enabled reconstruction of polychromatic (conventional) images at peak 140 kVp, virtual monochromatic images at photon energies ranging from 40 to 140 keV, and calculation of tissue iodine concentration maps.

A four-phase CT protocol was performed, which consisted of a non-enhanced phase (NP), arterial phase (AP), portal venous phase (PP), and venous phase (delayed phase, VP). The scan range was from the dome of the liver to the iliac crest during an inspiration. NP scans were acquired with a tube voltage of 120 kVp, detector collimation of 0.625 × 64 mm, rotation speed of 0.6 s, and helical pitch of 1.375:1. Then, a non-ionic contrast medium (Ultravist 300, Bayer Pharma AG, Germany) was administered intravenously with a power injector at 3 ml/s (total dose of 1 ml/kg) followed by a 20-ml bolus of 0.9% NaCl at 3 ml/s. Delay times for each of the phases were as follows: AP – 30 s, PP – 60 s, and VP – 180 s after contrast agent injection. Contrast-enhanced phases were acquired in the GSI scanning mode using the following scan parameters: tube voltage switching between 80 and 140 kVp within 0.5 ms, detector collimation of 0.625 × 64 mm, rotation speed of 0.6-0.8 s, and helical pitch of 1.375:1. ASIR (Adaptive Statistical Iterative Reconstruction) option was disabled.

**Image reconstruction and analysis**

Post-processing was performed on a dedicated workstation (AW4.6, GE Healthcare, Waukesha, USA). The reconstructed slice thickness was 1.25 mm. Three types of images were generated, including a classic polychromatic reconstruction at 140 kVp (PR, Figure 1), monochromatic virtual reconstruction (MR) at photon energies ranging from 40 keV to 140 keV (10-keV interval, 11 sets of images), and iodine over water maps (IM, Figure 2). In total, 13 sets of axial images were evaluated for each patient. Images were assessed by two radiologists (each with five years of experience in abdominal CT) [10]. Subjective...
image quality of the conspicuity of metastases was rated as follows: 1 – excellent, 2 – good, 3 – poor, 4 – invisible. Objective image quality was measured as signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR). The ratios were calculated based on the portal phase of contrast-enhanced images using attenuation standard deviation of visceral adipose tissue as the noise value (N), CT number of the major lesion as the signal value (S), and the difference in CT numbers between the normal liver parenchyma and the major lesion as the contrast value (C).

To compare the sensitivities of the tested reconstructions, the number of CRLMs and the largest diameter of the largest lesion were determined.

The appearance of CRLM was compared between different reconstructions based on CT numbers of the largest lesion. In the first step, the lesion was identified on polychromatic portal phase images, and a circular region of interest (ROI) was placed to cover the largest possible cross-sectional area of the metastasis. Then, the ROI was propagated to all other phases and reconstructions corrected manually if necessary. CT numbers were measured on polychromatic and monochromatic images. Iodine concentration (µg/cm³) was measured on iodine maps.

**Statistical analysis**

Parametric data were expressed as mean values with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Radiation dose was expressed as CTDIvol. The reference diameter and the number of lesions were assessed on PR images. The normality of data was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for potential differences between reconstructions in the subjective image quality, the number of lesions, and the diameter of the largest metastasis. Differences between reconstructions were tested using the Friedman test or the repeated measures ANOVA test.

The intraclass correlation coefficient was used to test interobserver agreement with respect to the number of lesions and the size of the largest lesion. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 10 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK) and MedCalc Statistical Software version 13.3 (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium).

**Results**

Imaging was successfully performed in all patients, and no adverse reactions were observed. None of the examinations was rejected due to inadequate image quality. The mean radiation dose was 14.61 mGy for NP and 17.89 mGy for DECT phases, respectively (p < 0.05).

The results of the image quality analysis are presented in Table 1. The highest subjective image quality was rated for monoenergetic reconstructions at 120, 130, and 140 keV (p < 0.0001). The diameter of metastases ranged between 12 and 193 mm (mean diameter: 58.4, 95% CI: 34.3-82.4 mm). The Friedman test revealed significant differences between reconstructions (p = 0.0070), but in post-hoc analysis there was no discrepancy between PR and monoenergetic images. The number of lesions varied between 1 and 20 mm (mean size: 4.20, 95% CI: 1.37-7.03 mm); the Friedman test revealed significant differences between reconstructions (p = 0.0495). The post-hoc analysis showed that the number of lesions was significantly lower on PR images than on IM and on monoenergetic reconstructions at 50, 60, and 70 keV (mean number: 4.20 and 4.45, respectively). As regards the objective assessment, IM and monoenergetic reconstruction at 70 keV presented the highest quality. SNR was significantly higher for IM and 70 keV images than for other reconstructions. Differences in CNR were less pronounced, as the only significant difference was observed between 70 keV images and polychromatic reconstructions.
Attenuation values of the normal liver parenchyma and those of metastases are presented in Table 2 and Figure 3. These values were statistically different between the tested reconstructions \((p < 0.001)\). The relative difference in attenuation (or iodine content) between the liver parenchyma and the largest lesion is presented in Figure 4. In the arterial phase, the difference was the highest for IM (mean 58%, 95% CI: 32-83%). There was a significant within-reconstruction effect in ANOVA \((p = 0.005)\), and IM values were significantly higher than PR values \((p = 0.0488)\). The highest difference in the portal phase was observed for IM (mean 51%, 95% CI: 35-68%) and in the venous phase for 140 keV (mean 33%, 95% CI: 20-47%), with no significant within-reconstruction effects (Figure 5).

The interobserver agreement for the number of lesions was high. It was the lowest for PR (ICC 0.95, 95% CI: 0.88-0.98), followed by monoenergetic reconstructions at 40 keV (ICC 0.96, 95% CI: 0.90-0.99). The remaining reconstructions presented an ICC of 0.99. The interobserver agreement for the diameter of the largest lesion was 0.99 (0.99-1.00) for all reconstructions.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to analyse the technical quality of single-source, fast kVp-switching DECT in the imaging of CRLM. The main finding of our study is that some monoenergetic reconstructions may re-
veal more metastases than polychromatic reconstructions. Moreover, iodine maps showed a higher signal difference between lesions and the normal liver parenchyma than did conventional CT images.

DECT may be used to improve the image quality of CT of the liver. Recently, Sudarski et al. compared objective and subjective image quality of PR and MR for GIST liver metastases. They found that less experienced readers might improve their diagnostic confidence in evaluating metastases by using 70 keV MR instead of conventional PR, because MR images at 70 keV were given the highest subjective quality ratings regardless of patient size [20]. In our study, the highest subjective image quality was rated for reconstructions at 120, 130, and 140 keV, but images at 60 keV and 70 keV presented the highest values of SNR and CNR among MRs. However, in view of the study by Nattenmüller et al., the added value of DECT for the imaging of the liver is not clear [21]. Those authors tried to verify the role of DECT in depicting hypodense liver metastases within steatotic liver parenchyma. Although they found that MR images at 120 kVp had the best quantitative and qualitative parameters, they concluded that additional radiation exposure due to dual-energy acquisition is not clinically justified. On the other hand, our results indicate that DECT is characterised by improved lesion detection on MRs, which is a significant practical advantage. Similar results were presented by Altenbernd et al., who evaluated CRLM using just two MRs (80 keV and 120 keV) with nine different window settings [22]. They concluded that 80 kVp images were more precise in detecting liver metastases than 120 kVp images and that window settings had a significant influence on conspicuity. Specifically, MR at 80 keV and the 50/350 HU window setting revealed a significantly higher total number of metastases and a higher number of small metastases (< 1 mm in size) than 120 keV reconstructions.

As shown in Figure 3, signal intensity of the normal liver parenchyma and metastases is not distributed uniformly, with higher CT values in low-energy reconstruc-
tions. Similar spectral curves were presented by Wang et al. [23]. They also concluded that the best presentation of liver tumours is achieved in the portal venous phase because of improved contrast agent dispersion in comparison to the arterial phase. According to our results, this observation is accurate for both monoenergetic and polenergetic reconstructions. However, on iodine maps, the difference in attenuation between the normal liver parenchyma and CRLM is highest in the arterial phase (Figure 5). This may be explained by the unique sensitivity of IM in detecting the content of contrast medium in the tissue.

The difference in the signal between structures is the most important factor that allows for lesion identification. In an early work by Robinson et al., pure 80 kVp images acquired using a dual-source dual-energy scanner demonstrated higher attenuation differences between hepatic metastases and the normal liver parenchyma than 120 kVp images [24]. In our study, the highest differences between the liver parenchyma and metastases were noted on iodine maps, 40 keV images, and 140 keV images (Figure 4). Since IM has also superior objective image quality, it seems to be the best reconstruction mode for diagnosing CRLM. Interestingly, IM was the only image type in which the arterial phase was more sensitive in detecting metastases than the portal phase. This may be explained by a unique sensitivity of IM to the content of iodine in the tissues. One might thus ask the question of whether the arterial phase can also be sufficient for diagnosis, which would significantly reduce radiation exposure. The above-described technical advantages of IM and monoenergetic reconstructions are in line with the most important result of our study, namely that DECT can reveal more metastases than conventional CT, because the precise determination of the cancer dissemination is essential for surgery planning.

The study has some limitations that have to be addressed. First, because the study was preliminary, a small number of participants were included. Although we found some advantages of DECT in imaging for CRLM, these findings should be confirmed in a larger sample. Second, only one contrast medium at a low concentration was tested. Because iodine maps most effectively depict the differences in enhancement between the normal parenchyma and metastases, it would be valuable to investigate the performance of contrast media at higher concentrations. Third, no dose reduction strategies were applied in the study. Potentially, iterative reconstruction would have allowed for dose reduction to a level close to that associated with conventional CT. However, the aim of our study was to test DECT reconstructions, and the effect of dose reduction may be investigated in another study. Finally, there was no objective reference method established to objectively measure the diagnostic value of DECT for the determination of hepatic CRC involvement. Therefore, further studies are being conducted with IOUS as a reference method to precisely measure the diagnostic performance of DECT in revealing CRLM.

Conclusions

DECT seems to be a promising tool for imaging of CRLM. Iodine maps and low-photon energy reconstructions present the highest differences in contrast between metastases and the normal liver parenchyma. Larger studies are necessary to establish the role of DECT in determining liver involvement in colorectal cancer.
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