Received: 03.10.2020 Accepted: 16.11.2020 Published: 26.10.2021

POLISH J<u>ournal *o*f Radiology</u>

Original paper

Comparison of T2 relaxometry and PET CT in the evaluation of patients with mesial temporal lobe epilepsy using video EEG as the reference standard

Rajesh Kumar Varatharajaperumal^{1,A,BC,E,F}, Rahul Arkar^{2,B,D}, Venkatesh Kasi Arunachalam^{1,A,E}, Rupa Renganathan^{1,A,F}, Shriram Varatharajan^{1,D,E}, Pankaj Mehta^{1,A,E}, Mathew Cherian^{1,A,E}

¹Kovai Medical Centre and Hospital, Coimbatore, India ²DR.D.Y. Patil Medical College, Pune, India

Abstract

Purpose: Our study aimed to compare the sensitivity of T2 relaxometry and positron emission tomography – computed tomography (PET/CT) in patients with a history suggestive of mesial temporal lobe epilepsy using video electroencephalography (EEG) as the reference standard.

Material and methods: In our study, 35 patients with a history suggestive of mesial temporal lobe epilepsy were subjected to conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), T2 relaxometry, and PET/CT. The results of each of the studies were compared with video EEG findings. Analyses were performed by using statistical software (SPSS version 20.0 for windows), and the sensitivity of conventional MRI, T2 relaxometry, and PET/CT were calculated.

Results: The sensitivity of qualitative MRI (atrophy and T2 hyperintensity), quantitative MRI (T2 relaxometry), and PET/CT in lateralizing the seizure focus were 68.6% (n = 24), 85.7% (n = 30), and 88.6% (n = 31), respectively.

Conclusions: The sensitivity of MRI in lateralization and localization of seizure focus in temporal lobe epilepsy can be increased by adding the quantitative parameter (T2 relaxometry) with the conventional sequences. T2 Relaxometry is comparable to PET/CT for localization and lateralization of seizure focus and is a useful tool in the workup of TLE patients.

Key words: T2 relaxometry, PET/CT, video EEG, mesial temporal sclerosis.

Introduction

Temporal lobe epilepsy constitutes nearly two-thirds of focal epilepsies and is responsible for most cases of complex partial seizures [1]. Mesial temporal sclerosis is the most common cause of temporal lobe epilepsy [2,3]. Surgical resection is the only treatment option for patients with medically refractory epilepsy. Most patients with hippocampal sclerosis experience satisfactory improvement after resection of the affected hippocampus. After surgery, 60% to 70% of patients become seizure-free, and 90% of patients achieve a worthwhile reduction in seizure severity [4,5]. The most important principle underlying the surgical treatment is to identify a discrete epileptogenic focus and to identify its relationship with the eloquent cortex in order to minimize functional deficits following resection.

Video electroencephalography (EEG) technique is the gold standard for localization of the epileptogenic focus; however, it requires prolonged continuous monitoring. Advances in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technology to localize epileptogenic focus substantially improved the success of surgical treatment. The hallmark of mesial temporal sclerosis on MRI is hippocampal atrophy and hyperintense signal intensity on T2-weighted images.

Correspondence address:

Pankaj Mehta, Kovai Medical Centre and Hospital, Coimbatore, India, e-mail: drpankajmehta@kmchhospitals.com

Authors' contribution:

A Study design · B Data collection · C Statistical analysis · D Data interpretation · E Manuscript preparation · F Literature search · G Funds collection

However, visual analysis is difficult in the presence of symmetric bilateral disease or mild unilateral disease [6]. Thus, absolute quantitative measurements of the hippocampus are necessary. Hence, T2 relaxometry is now increasingly being used in imaging diagnosis. Recently positron emission tomography – computed tomography (PET/CT) also has shown increased sensitivity in the diagnosis of temporal lobe epilepsy.

In our study, we compared the sensitivity of conventional MRI, T2 relaxometry, and PET/CT in the evaluation of patients with mesial temporal lobe epilepsy with video EEG as a reference standard.

Material and methods

Thirty-five consecutive cases with history suggestive of complex partial seizure referred for MRI with positive EEG findings were included in the study. The EEG findings were not known to the observers prior to the MRI study. Patients with space-occupying lesions or cortical dysplasia were excluded. All Patients underwent MRI brain on a 3Tesla (Skyra, Siemens) and PET/CT (Biograph, Siemens).

Qualitative MRI assessment

Oblique coronal T1-weighted images (T1 TIR oblique coronal) and oblique coronal T2 weighted spin-echo images were performed perpendicular to the long axis of the hippocampus. The following scan parameters were used for T1 oblique coronal: TR/TE 2500/12, flip angle 150°, matrix size 243×384 , FOV 22×20 cm, slice thickness 2 mm, and scan time 2 minutes 17 seconds. The following scan parameters were used for T2 coronal: TR/TE 2500/119, flip angle 150°, matrix size 240×384 , FOV 22×20 cm, slice thickness 2 mm, and scan time 3 minutes 17 seconds.

The detection of hippocampal sclerosis was based on the criteria of unilateral or bilateral small hippocampi on T1-weighted images suggestive of volume loss with increased signal on T2-weighted images. The hippocampal volume was assessed by manual semi-quantitative method in this study. In this method, the hippocampal boundary was traced manually to calculate the cross-sectional area from head to tail on both sides. The boundaries of hippocampi were defined anteriorly from the point where the uncal recess or alveus first appears and posteriorly to the section where the crus of fornix was seen in full profile. Lateral and medial borders were defined as CSF in the temporal horn of the lateral ventricle and CSF in uncal/ambient cisterns, respectively. The grey-white matter junction between subiculum and white matter of the parahippocampal gyrus was considered as the inferior margin. The volumes of both hippocampi were calculated by summing each of the cross-sectional volumes (cross sectional area × [section thickness + interslice gap]). A similar protocol was applied for 35 normal subjects, and age-matched control values for

hippocampal volumes were acquired. When the hippocampal volume value was less than 2 standard deviations outside the mean value of control hippocampal volumes, they were considered as abnormal and atrophic.

Hippocampal T2 (Ht2) mapping

For the computation of T2 values, contiguous slices were obtained in a tilted coronal plane perpendicular to the long axis of the hippocampi. The following scan parameters were used: TR/TE 2000/ (13 to 160), flip angle 180°, matrix size 192 \times 256, FOV 22 \times 20 cm, slice thickness 4 mm, and scan time 3 minutes 5 seconds.

The T2 value was calculated with different TE values ranging from 13 to 160. Image data were transferred from the scanner to a workstation. The T2 map was generated, and the T2 value was calculated by placing the ROI in the head, body, and tail of the hippocampus. Based on previous studies, a cut-off value of 118 was chosen, beyond which the hippocampal signal was considered abnormal [7].

PET

For the PET/CT examinations, a Siemens biograph 6-slice diagnostic CT-enabled PET/CT camera was used. Patients were kept fasting for at least 6 hours before undergoing the PET/CT scan, and 2-3 mCi of fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose (F-18 FDG) was administered 30 min prior to scanning. The patient was asked to sit in dim light for 30 minutes. Blood glucose levels were measured in all patients prior to the examination, with a cut-off limit of 11.1 mmol/l (200 mg/dl). A contrast-enhanced CT examination (venous phase; brain) was performed after an intravenous bolus injection of 25 ml of tri-iodinated non-ionic contrast agent at a rate of 1.5 ml/s. The following acquisition parameters were used: tube voltage 300 mA, tube current 130 kV, collimation 6×1 mm, reconstruction orientation transverse, reconstruction section thickness 1.25 mm with 1.2 mm increment, reconstruction kernel H31s medium smooth (Cerebrum), matrix 512×512 , and the brain PET scan was performed over a single bed position for 10 minutes. PET images were reconstructed using ordered subset expectation maximization (True X 3D OSEM), 3 iterations per 21 subsets, with a slice thickness of 3 mm, and an attenuation correction based on the CT maps. Quantitative analysis of the PET-scan images were performed using Scenium®, a Siemens software program that is part of the Syngo.via Neurology package (Siemens CTI Molecular Imaging, Knoxville, TN, USA). It compared the patients' brain metabolism with the age-matched control groups. Once control individuals have been chosen from the databank, the software fuses each patient's CT with that of the software by placing both in the same standardized space. Finally, the software conducts a voxel-by-voxel statistical comparison of each patient's PET scan to that of the control group. This was an automated quantification and thus did not depend on the operator. The quantitative analysis delineates areas of significant hypometabolism (> 2 SDs from the mean) and depicts them in images as well as in a table. The regions of hypo- and hypermetabolism were displayed in different colours, and the region with the greatest hypometabolism was defined. Descriptive statistics were used to enumerate the basic characteristics of the study group compared to EEG, which was considered as the reference standard. The sensitivity for MRI, T2 Relaxometry, and PET/CT was compared separately with EEG findings.

Results

The study included 18 males and 17 females with a mean age of 27 years, and the mean age of onset of seizure was 13.6 years.

The mean duration of epilepsy in patients with normal MRI was 10.9 years, and in patients with hippocampal atrophy it was 15.2 years.

Of the total 35 patients included in our study, 17 (48.6%) had hyperintensity on T2WI and 21 (60%) had hippocampal atrophy. Qualitative MRI showed positive findings in 24 patients (68.6%). The total number of cases positive in T2 relaxometry was 30. Of the 30 cases positive for T2 relaxometry, the involvement of the hippocampus was diffuse/focal on single or both sides as depicted in Table 1. The most common pattern of involvement among T2 relaxometry positive

 Table 1. Distribution of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) abnormalities in hippocampus

Laterality + (diffuse vs. focal)					
		Frequency	%	Valid %	Cumulative %
Valid	Unilateral diffuse	18	51.4	51.4	51.4
	Unilateral focal	6	17.1	17.1	68.6
	Bilateral diffuse	5	14.3	14.3	82.9
	Bilateral focal	1	2.9	2.9	85.7
	Normal	5	14.3	14.3	100.0
	Total	35	100.0	100.0	

 Table 3. Number of patients positive for T2 relaxometry in comparison with video electroencephalography (EEG)

T2 relaxometry * video EEG cross- tabulation		Video EEG Positive/ Abnormal	Total	
T2	Positive/ Abnormal	Count	30	30
MRI		% within video EEG	85.7%	85.7%
	Negative/ Normal	Count	5	5
		% within video EEG	14.3%	14.3%
Total		Count	35	35
		% within video EEG	100.0%	100.0%

Sensitivity T2 relaxometry: 85.7%

cases was unilateral diffuse involvement (Seen in 18 out of 30 patients). Of the 24 unilateral cases, the left hippocampus was involved in 18 cases, and the right hippocampus was involved in 6 cases.

Video EEG and MRI

Of the total 35 video EEG-proven cases of mesial temporal lobe epilepsy, qualitative MRI was positive in 24 (68.6%) patients and T2 relaxometry was positive in 30 (85.7%) patients (Tables 2 and 3). The lateralization of MRI (combined qualitative and quantitative) in patients with mesial temporal lobe epilepsy was 85.7%. By adding T2 relaxometry to qualitative MRI, the sensitivity of MRI was increased from 68.6% to 85.7%.

PET/CT and MRI

Of the total 35 patients, PET/CT was positive in 31 patients (Table 4).

Of the total 35 patients, both MRI and PET were positive in 28 patients; of these 28 patients, both conventional MRI and T2 relaxometry were positive in 24 patients (Figure 1). T2 relaxometry alone was positive in 4 patients (in

 Table 2. Number of patients positive in conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in comparison to video electroencephalography (EEG)

MRI * video EEG cross-tabulation			Video EEG Positive/ Abnormal	Total
MRI	Positive/Abnormal	Count	24	24
		% within video EEG	68.6%	68.6%
	Negative/Normal	Count	11	11
		% within video EEG	31.4%	31.4%
Total		Count	35	35
		% within video EEG	100.0%	100.0%

Sensitivity conventional MRI alone is 68.6%

Table 4. Number of patients positive for positron emission tomography – computed tomography (PET/CT) in comparison with video electroencephalography (EEG)

PET/	'CT * video El	EG cross-tabulation	Video EEG Positive/ Abnormal	Total
PET/CT	Positive/	Count	31	31
	Abnormal	% within video EEG	88.6%	88.6%
	Negative/	Count	4	4
Norm	Normal	% within video EEG	11.4%	11.4%
Total		Count	35	35
		% within video EEG	100.0%	100.0%

Sensitivity of PET/CT: 88.6%



Figure 1. Magnetic resonance imaging **(A)** T2 relaxometry **(B)** and positron emission tomography – computed tomography (PET/CT) **(C)** showing lateralization of seizure focus on left side. Increased signal intensity with volume loss involving left hippocampus on coronal T2-weighted image **(A)** and elevated T2 values (mean value – 135) on T2 relaxometry image **(B)** were noted. PET/CT image **(C)** shows hypometabolism in left hippocampus

whom conventional MRI was normal) (Figure 2). PET/CT alone was positive in 3 patients (in those patients MRI was normal) (Figure 3). MRI was positive in 2 patients in those PET was negative. In 2 patients both MRI and PET were negative (Table 5). A combination of MRI and PET/CT increased the sensitivity to 94%.

Thus, the sensitivity of qualitative MRI (atrophy and T2 hyperintensity), quantitative MRI (T2 relaxometry), and PET/CT in lateralizing the seizure focus were 68.6% (n = 24), 85.7% (n = 30), and 88.6% (n = 31), respectively.

Discussion

Mesial temporal lobe epilepsy is the most common cause of focal and refractory seizures [3,8]. Surgical removal of the focus eliminates or greatly reduces seizures in about 70-90% of patients with temporal lobe epilepsy who have concordant hippocampal atrophy [9]. On MRI mesial temporal sclerosis usually displays hippocampal atrophy, structural distortion, and hyperintense signal on T2WI [10-13]. These changes may be assessed qualitatively or by means of quantitative parameters like T2 relaxometry. However, a minority of patients with non-lesional electro-clinical well-lateralized temporal-lobe epilepsy have no evidence of hippocampal sclerosis in qualitative and quantitative MRI. Many of these patients have concordant hypometabolism on PET [14].

The mean duration of epilepsy in patients with hippocampal atrophy was longer than in the patients without atrophy (15.2 years and 10.9 years, respectively). In a study by Bernasconiet *et al.* [15], the mean duration of epilepsy was 16 ± 13 years in patients with normal MRI and $27 \pm$ 12 years in patients with hippocampal atrophy. However, the *p*-value in our study was 0.1, which means these values were not statistically significant. This may be because of the smaller sample size and greater number of patients with bilateral hippocampal atrophy (in whom identification of atrophy is difficult). Other studies also showed a similar trend in the morphological abnormalities (hippocampal atrophy) in long-standing epilepsy [16].



Figure 2. Magnetic resonance imaging (**A**) is normal, while T2 relaxometry (**B**) and positron emission tomography – computed tomography (PET/CT) (**C**) showing lateralization of seizure focus on right side. The hippocampus appeared normal on both sides on coronal T2-weighted image (**A**). However, elevated T2 value (mean value – 125) on T2 relaxometry image (**B**) was noted. PET/CT image (**C**) shows hypometabolism in right hippocampus



Figure 3. Magnetic resonance imaging (A) and T2 relaxometry (B) are normal and positron emission tomography – computed tomography (PET/CT) (C) showing lateralization of seizure focus on left side. The hippocampus appeared normal on both sides on both coronal T2-weighted (A) and T2 relaxometry images (B). However, PET/CT image (C) showed hypometabolism in left hippocampus

The sensitivity of MRI, T2 relaxometry, and PET

In our study hippocampal atrophy was noted in 60% of patients, and signal changes on T2 WI were noted in 48.6% of patients. Other studies on temporal lobe epilepsy dem-

onstrated a range of variability from 8% to 90% [17-19], which may partly be attributed to the subjective nature of visual assessment of subtle signal abnormalities in images of varying quality. In our study qualitative MRI was concordant with video EEG in 68.65% of patients in lateralizing Table 5. Number of patients positive for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (conventional and T2 relaxometry) and positron emission tomography – computed tomography (PET/CT)

MRI_T2 * PET/CT cross-tabulation			PET/CT		Total
		Positive/ Abnormal	Negative/ Normal		
MRI_T2	Positive/Abnormal	Count	28	2	30
	Negative/Normal	Count	3	2	5
Total	Count	31	4	35	

Combined MRI and T2 relaxometry negative but PET/CT positive cases = 3 patients (9.7%). Sensitivity of combined MRI and T2 relaxometry = 85.7%. Sensitivity of PET = 88.6%.

the epileptogenic foci. In a study conducted by Won *et al.*, in 1999 [20], regarding the comparison of sensitivities of MR imaging with PET and Ictal SPECT in lateralization of epileptogenic foci in 118 patients with intractable epilepsy, MRI was concordant with video EEG in 58% of patients. Thus, in the concordance of MRI and video EEG, our study has comparable results to the study by Won *et al.*

In an analogous way to the quantification of hippocampal atrophy by volumetric analysis, T2-weighted signal intensity may be quantified reproducibly by measurement of hippocampal T2 relaxation time. T2 relaxometry is used to quantify the T2 signal in the hippocampus. The hippocampal T2 time reflects the pathology of the tissue. Because water content is often higher in pathological tissue than the adjacent normal tissue, the changes result in longer T2 relaxation time. It measures the decay in signal intensity at different TEs in a series of T2W images acquired in the same slice. The main advantage of this technique is that, because the range of normal hippocampal T2 relaxation times is small, the measured values are absolute and immediately comparable against controls [21]. Furthermore, the T2 values allow detection of mild, bilateral abnormalities and can be measured within a few seconds [22]. The definition of a normal hippocampus by this method is very precise, and the diagnosis of mesial temporal sclerosis does not depend on a side-to-side comparison. Thus, cases of mild and bilateral hippocampal sclerosis can be diagnosed. In mesial temporal sclerosis, the relaxation time was proven to be lengthened by 10 ms [23]. In our study, a cut-off value of 118 was followed based on previous studies [7]. In our study, the range of T2 relaxometry values in the ipsilateral side of seizure focus was 118-152 and the contralateral normal side was 102-117. The sensitivity of T2 relaxometry in our study was 85.7%. In a study by Jackson et al. [24] all hippocampal T2 measurements greater than 116 ms were considered abnormal, and they found abnormal hippocampal T2 relaxation times in the hippocampus ipsilateral to the site of seizure origin in 70% of patients. In a study by Duncan et al. [25] on quantitative relaxometry of hippocampal sclerosis, the range of T2 relaxation times in hippocampal sclerosis was 118-154, and in the hippocampus contralateral to seizure focus it was 100-116.

The sensitivity of MR analysis can be increased by quantitative measurements like T2 relaxometry. Adding

T2 relaxometry to qualitative MRI increased the sensitivity from 68.6% to 85.7% in our study. In a study by Bernasconi *et al.* [15] the sensitivity of qualitative MRI was 56% (14 patients were positive out of 25) and increased to 92% by adding T2 relaxometry (23 out of 25 patients were positive). Hippocampal T2 mapping provides evidence of hippocampal damage in most patients with intractable TLE, who have no evidence of atrophy on MRI, and it can correctly lateralize most patients. This technique can be a useful adjunct to presurgical evaluation of temporal lobe epilepsy in patients with normal MRI and can lead to a reduction in the need for invasive recordings in this group.

In a study by Won *et al.* [20], with histopathology as the reference standard, MRI, PET, and Ictal SPECT correctly lateralized the lesion in 72%, 85%, and 73% of patients, respectively. In our study, the sensitivity of MRI (conventional and T2 relaxometry) and PET/CT in lateralizing the seizure focus were 85.7% and 88.6%, respectively.

In the study conducted by Nagata *et al.* in 1995 comparing the sensitivity of PET, SPECT, and MRI with video EEG as the reference standard, the sensitivity of PET, SPECT, and MRI in the localization of seizure focus was 90%, 60%, and 80%, respectively[26]. In a study conducted by Stefan *et al.* in 1987 regarding the functional and morphological abnormalities in temporal lobe epilepsy, the sensitivity of MRI was 80% and PET was 100% [27].

In a study by Spencer *et al.* comparing the sensitivity of MRI and PET in temporal lobe epilepsy with EEG as standard, the sensitivity of qualitative MRI, qualitative MRI, and PET/CT were 55%, 71%, and 84%, respectively [28]. The combined sensitivity of MRI and PET in our study was 94%.

In a study by Coan *et al.* [29] MRI performed with a 3T scanner and visually inspected by imaging experts, they concluded that quantification of hippocampal volume and T2 signal can increase the detection of signs of hippocampal sclerosis in approximately 28% of patients with mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. Because these MR imaging quantification methods are widely available, they could be used in routine diagnostic protocols for patients with drug-resistant focal epilepsies and visually normal MRI findings after further validation for clinical use.

In our study, the left hippocampus was more involved than the right side, and the head of the hippocampus was more involved than the body and tail. The results were similar in the previous studies [30-32].

Conclusions

We found that quantitative T2 relaxometry increases the sensitivity of routine MRI in the lateralization and localization of seizure focus amongst temporal lobe epilepsy patients. Diagnostic performance of combined MRI (routine and T2 relaxometry) was comparable to PET for the localization and lateralization of seizure focus. It is a useful tool in the workup of TLE patients and in combination with video EEG and PET it can identify patients who are most likely to benefit from surgery.

Conflict of interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Hauser WA. The natural history of temporal lobe etpilepsy. In: Luders H (ed.). Epilepsy Surgery. New York: Raven; 1991: 133-141.
- Weibe S. Epidemiology of temporal lobe epilepsy. Can J Neurol Sci 2000; 27 (Suppl 1): S6-10; Discussion S20-21.
- Falcon MA. Mesial temporal sclerosis (Ammon's Horn) as a common cause of epilepsy: etiology, treatment and prevention. Lancet 1974; 2: 767-770.
- 4. Engel J Jr, Wiebe S, French J, et al. Practise parameter: Temporal lobe and localized neocortical resections for epilepsy: Report of the quality standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology, in association with the American Epilepsy Society and the American Association of Neurological Surgeons. Neurology 2003; 60: 1396.
- Engel J, Casino GD, Shields WD. Surgically remediable syndromes. In: Engel J, Pedley TA (eds.). Epilepsy – a comprehensice textbook. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1998: 1687.
- Cendes F, Leproux F, Melanson D, et al. MRI of amygdala and hippocampus in temporal lobe epilepsy. J Comput Assist Tomogr 1993; 17: 206-210.
- Bartlett PA, Symms MR, Free SL, et al. T2 relaxometry of the hippocampus at 3T. Am J Neuroradiol 2007; 28: 1095-1098.
- Babb TL, Brown WJ. Pathological findings in epilepsy. In: Engel J Jr (ed.). Surgical Treatment of Epilepsies. New York: Raven; 1987: 511-540.
- 9. Engel J. Mesial temporal lobe epilepsy: what have we learned? Neuroscientist 2001; 7: 340-352.
- Jackson GD, Berkovic SF, Duncan JS, et al. Optimizing the diagnosis of hippocampal sclerosis using MR imaging. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1993; 14: 753-762.
- 11. Berkovic SF, Andermann F, Olivier A, et al. Hippocampal sclerosis in temporal lobe epilepsy demonstrated by magnetic resonance imaging. Ann Neurol 1991; 29: 175-182.
- 12.Kuzniecky RI, Bilir E, Gilliam F, et al. Multimodality MRI in mesial temporal sclerosis: relative sensitivity and specificity. Neurology 1997; 49: 774-778.
- Kobayashi E, D'Agostino MD, Lopes-Cendes I, et al. Hippocampal atrophy and T2-weighted signal changes in familial mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. Neurology 2003; 60: 405-409.
- Uijl SG, Leijten FS, Arends JB, et al. The added value of [18F]-fluoro-D-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography in screening for temporal lobe epilepsy surgery. Epilepsia 2007; 48: 2121-2129.
- Bernasconi A, Bernasconi N, Caramonos Z, et al. T2 relaxometry can lateralize mesial temporal lobe epilepsy in patients with normal MRI. Neuroimage 2000; 12: 739-746.
- Theodore WH, Bhatia SJ, Hatta J, et al. Hippocampal atrophy, epilepsy duration, and febrile seizures in patients with partial seizures. Neurology 1999; 52: 132-136.
- 17. Brooks BS, King DW, EI Gammel T, et al. MR imaging in patients with intractable complex partial epileptic seizures. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1990; 154: 577-583.

- Bronen RA, Cheung G, Charles JT, et al. Imaging findings in hippocampal sclerosis: correlation with pathology. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1991; 12: 933-940.
- Dowd CF, Dillon WP, Barbaro NM, et al. Intractable complex partial seizures: correlation of magnetic resonance imaging with pathology and electroencephalography. Epilepsy Res 1992; Suppl 5: S101-S110.
- Won HJ, Chang KH, Cheon JE, et al. Comparison of MR imaging with PET and Ictal SPECT in 118 patients with intractable epilepsy. Am J Neuroradiol 1999; 20: 593-599.
- Jackson GD, Connelly A, Duncan JS, et al. Detection of hippocampal pathology in intractable partial epilepsy: Increased sensitivity and quantitative magnetic resonance T2 relaxometry. Neurology 1993; 43: 1793-1799.
- Van Paesschen W, Connelly A, King MD, et al. The spectrum of hippocampal sclerosis: a qunatitiative magnetic resonance imaging study. Ann Neurol 1997; 41: 41-51.
- 23. Gupta RG. Magnetic resonance imaging in temporal lobe epilepsy. Appl Radiol 2002; 31: 12.
- Jackson GD, Connelly A, Duncan JS, et al. Detection of hippocampal pathology in intractable partial epilepsy: increased sensitivity with quantitative magnetic resonance T2 relaxometry. Neurology 1993; 43: 1793-1799.
- 25. Duncan JS, Jackson GD, Connelly, et al. Quantitative relaxometry of hippocampal sclerosis. In: Shorvon SD, Fish DR, Andermann F, et al. (eds.). Magnetic Resonance Scanning and Epilepsy. NATO ASI Series (Series A: Life Sciences), vol 264. Springer, Boston, MA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-2546-2_11
- 26. Nagata T, Tanaka F, Yonekura Y, et al. Limited value of interictal brain perfusion SPECT for detection of epileptic foci: High resolution SPECT studies in comparison with FDG-PET. Ann Nucl Med 1995; 9: 59-63.
- Stefen H, Pawlik G, Schwarz HG, et al. Functional and morphological abnormalities in temporal lobe epilepsy: a comparison of interictal and ictal EEG, CT, MRI, SPECT and PET. J Neurol 1987; 234: 377-384.
- Spencer SS, Theodore WH, Berkovic SF. Clinical applications: MRI, SPECT and PET. Magn Reson Imaging 1995; 13: 1119-1124.
- 29. Coan AC, Kubota B, Bergo FPG, et al. 3T MRI Quantification of Hippocampal Volume and Signal in Mesial Temporal Lobe Epilepsy Improves Detection of Hippocampal Sclerosis. Am J Neuroradiol 2014; 35: 77-83.
- 30. Woermann FG, Barker GJ, Birnie KD, et al. Regional changes in hippocampal T2 relaxation and volume: a quantitative magnetic resonance imaging study of hippocampal sclerosis. Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1998; 65: 656-664.
- Cendes F, Andermann F, Gloor P, et al. Atrophy of mesial structures in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy: cause or consequence of repeated seizures? Ann Neurol 1993; 34: 795-801.
- 32. Carrete H Jr, Abdala N, Lin K, et al. Temporal pole signal abnormality on MR imaging in temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis: a fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery study. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2007; 65: 553-560.