Received: 29.03.2025 Accepted: 18.04.2025 Published: 29.05.2025 POLISH Journal of Radiology

**Original paper** 

# Chronic thromboembolic hypertension predictors in computed tomography angiography. Single-centre study

Konstantin Szewczuk<sup>1,A,B,C,D,E,F</sup>, Olga Dzikowska-Diduch<sup>2,B</sup>, Marek Roik<sup>2,B</sup>, Piotr Pruszczyk<sup>2,B,E</sup>, Dorota Piotrowska-Kownacka<sup>1,F</sup>, Marek Gołębiowski<sup>1,A,D,E,F</sup>

•

<sup>1</sup>1<sup>st</sup> Department of Clinical Radiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland

<sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiology with the Centre for Diagnosis and Treatment of Venous Thromboembolism, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland

#### Abstract

**Purpose**: Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) is a life-threatening but curable form of pulmonary hypertension. Early diagnosis is crucial for effective management and improved outcomes. Computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA), characterised by high sensitivity and specificity, is integral to diagnosing CTEPH by identifying thrombi and associated pulmonary and mediastinal abnormalities. However, radiological features often overlap with other diseases, and their detection depends on radiologist expertise. This study aims to assess the frequency of characteristic radiological features in CTEPH, compare their prevalence with chronic thromboembolic disease (CTED), pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), and acute pulmonary embolism (APE), and evaluate their diagnostic predictive value.

**Material and methods:** This retrospective study analysed 115 patients divided into CTEPH (n = 35), CTED (n = 20), PAH (n = 24), and APE (n = 36) groups, matched by age and sex. CTPA scans were reviewed for signs of chronic embolism, pulmonary hypertension, and right heart overload. Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and predictive values were assessed using ROC analysis, expressed as the area under the curve (AUC).

**Results**: CTEPH patients exhibited vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs in all cases (100%), with the highest diagnostic value at the segmental level (AUC = 0.906). Mosaic perfusion and variability in vessel size demonstrated moderate predictive value (AUC = 0.740 and AUC = 0.788, respectively).

**Conclusions**: CTPA is essential for differentiating CTEPH from other pulmonary vascular conditions. While no single feature achieves 100% predictive value, a comprehensive approach integrating vascular, parenchymal, and cardiac findings is critical for accurate diagnosis.

**Key words**: chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, tomography pulmonary angiography, pulmonary arteries, chronic thromboembolic disease, acute pulmonary embolism.

#### Introduction

Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) is a potentially life-threatening condition and a rare complication of acute pulmonary embolism (APE), affecting an estimated 0.5-9% of patients following an embolic event [1].

Categorised as group 4 WHO pulmonary hypertension (PH), CTEPH is the only potentially curable form of PH [2]. The pathogenesis of CTEPH remains poorly understood. In affected patients, pulmonary emboli fail to resolve completely, leading to increased pulmonary vascular resistance,

#### **Correspondence address:**

Konstantin Szewczuk, 1st Department of Clinical Radiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland, e-mail: konstantin.szewczuk@uckwum.pl

#### Authors' contribution:

A Study design · B Data collection · C Statistical analysis · D Data interpretation · E Manuscript preparation · F Literature search · G Funds collection

pulmonary hypertension, and subsequent right heart failure [2,3].

Early diagnosis is challenging because CTEPH often presents with nonspecific symptoms such as dyspnoea, fatigue, and chest pain [2]. Furthermore, a variety of diagnostic approaches, delays in referring patients to specialist centres, and insufficient awareness of this condition among radiologists contribute to diagnostic downtime [4]. On average, it takes 14-24 months to establish a correct diagnosis [5]. Imaging plays a central role in the multidisciplinary management of CTEPH. It is crucial for diagnosis, assessing the extent of the disease, planning treatment, and monitoring post-treatment outcomes. Computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) imaging is a key component of the diagnostic workup for CTEPH, with a sensitivity and specificity of 94-95% [6]. Although a normal CTPA result does not exclude CTEPH, because distal disease can be missed, CTPA provides vital information on the location and morphology of thrombi, which is critical for surgical or interventional planning [7]. Additionally, computed tomography (CT) scanning identifies underlying parenchymal lung and mediastinal diseases and aids in detecting other pulmonary vessel disorders [2].

Typical CTPA features of CTEPH include vascular signs of chronic embolism (such as partial obstruction, eccentric thrombus, calcified thrombus, bands, slits, and webs) and signs of pulmonary hypertension (such as enlargement of the main pulmonary arteries and enlargement of bronchial arteries) [8,9]. Furthermore, CTPA can assess parenchymal signs, such as scars, mosaic perfusion patterns, and bronchial anomalies, as well as signs of right heart overload, including right ventricular enlargement and hypertrophy [8,9]. CT findings in patients with CTEPH can differ according to the disease's severity, the extent of vascular blockage, and the degree of PH [10].

However, imaging features are not exclusive only to CTEPH and overlap with other disease entities. Moreover, studies suggest that the sensitivity of CTPA for detecting CTEPH depends on the experience of the readers and differs between non-specialist centres and high-expertise institutions [11]. Therefore, expertise in interpreting CTPA imaging results and improving the sensitivity of CTEPH detection with CTPA is crucial because it could reduce the need for additional diagnostic tests, accelerate diagnosis, and improve therapeutic outcomes.

The CTPA imaging features in patients with CTEPH have been described in several previous reviews [4,6,8, 9,12,13]. However, there are currently few publications investigating the frequencies of CTEPH-related signs and abnormalities on CTPA, as well as their predictive value [3,14,15].

The aims of our study are as follows: firstly, to assess the frequency of characteristic radiological features in CTEPH, categorised as signs of chronic pulmonary embolism (PE), pulmonary hypertension, and right heart overload; and secondly, to compare the prevalence of these features with other pulmonary vascular conditions, such as chronic thromboembolic disease (CTED), APE, and pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), which share many imaging similarities with CTEPH and must be considered in the differential diagnosis in clinical practice [2]. These conditions are frequently evaluated using CTPA as the first-line diagnostic test.

Finally, based on our findings, we aim to determine the sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value of these radiological features in diagnosing CTEPH. This approach could significantly contribute to achieving earlier and more accurate diagnoses of CTEPH.

To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the first studies to comprehensively evaluate the predictive value of radiological features in CTEPH compared with selected pulmonary vascular conditions.

#### **Material and methods**

The present study is a retrospective cross-sectional study, conducted at the referral centre of the Medical University of Warsaw. The local Bioethics Committee approved the study protocol (approval number: AKBE/290/2024). All investigations were carried out in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. Informed consent from the patients was not required for this study.

#### Patients

Thirty-five patients with a diagnosis of CTEPH, established according to current guidelines [2], confirmed by right heart catheterisation (RHC) and a corresponding CTPA, were recruited for the study.

Three control groups were matched by age and sex to the CTEPH cohort with diagnoses made in accordance with current guidelines [2]. The first group (n = 20) included patients with CTED, while the second group (n = 26) comprised individuals with PAH.

For these groups, the most recent CTPA examination closest in time to the RHC was selected for the analysis. Relevant RHC data, including mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) and pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR), were extracted from medical records.

The third control group consisted of 36 consecutive patients with APE, diagnosed in accordance with current guidelines and undergoing CTPA.

#### **CT protocols**

Computed tomography scans were obtained using a 64-slice multidetector computed tomography (64-MDCT) scanner with electrocardiographic gating, with a slice thickness of 0.625 mm and rotation time of 0.5 sec. The injection protocol included administering 80 ml of a nonionic contrast agent (Iohexol, Omnipaque 350, GE Healthcare), followed by 100 ml of 0.9% NaCl solution. Automatic bolus tracking was applied, with the ROI positioned at the level of the pulmonary trunk and the threshold for triggering data acquisition set at 100 HU. The whole chest was scanned during a single breath-hold.

#### **Image analysis**

The anonymised CTPA images were assessed by consensus statement of chest radiologists in a random order for the presence of CTEPH features, which were categorised



**Figure 1.** Electrocardiographically-gated computer tomography pulmonary angiography in a 65-year-old female patient diagnosed with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Multiplanar reconstruction view shows right lower lobe pulmonary artery with band-like filling defects (red arrow) and eccentric vessel narrowing (yellow arrow)



**Figure 3.** Electrocardiographically-gated computer tomography pulmonary angiography in a 67-year-old female patient diagnosed with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Multiplanar reconstruction coronal view shows areas of lower and higher lung attenuation (green arrows) corresponding to mosaic attenuation of the lung parenchyma

into signs of chronic PE, signs of PH, and signs of right heart overload. Signs of chronic PE included vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs (Figure 1), which were analysed at the central, lobar, and segmental levels; variability in the size of lobar and segmental arteries (Figure 2), defined by a vessel size ratio exceeding 1, determined by comparing segmental diameters of pulmonary arteries at corresponding levels in the right and left lobes; mosaic perfusion (Figure 3); complete vessel obstruction (Figure 4), analysed at the central, lobar, and



Figure 2. Electrocardiographically-gated computer tomography pulmonary angiography in a 57-year-old male patient diagnosed with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Multiplanar reconstruction coronal view shows prominent variability in the size of the right lower lobe (red arrow) and segmental-level artery (yellow arrow)



Figure 4. Electrocardiographically-gated computer tomography pulmonary angiography in a 69-year-old male patient diagnosed with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Multiplanar reconstruction sagittal view shows chronic occlusion of the left lower lobe basal segment artery with vessel retraction (red arrow)



**Figure 5.** Electrocardiographically-gated computer tomography pulmonary angiography in a 62-year-old male patient diagnosed with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Pulmonary window axial view shows pulmonary scars and infarction on the periphery of the left upper lobe (yellow marking)



Figure 6. Computer tomography pulmonary angiography in a 57-year-old male patient diagnosed with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Axial plane view shows calcifications in the wall of the right pulmonary artery (yellow markings)



**Figure 7.** Electrocardiographically-gated computer tomography pulmonary angiography in a 55-year-old female patient diagnosed with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Axial plane view shows pulmonary trunk/ aorta diameter index > 1. Dilated pulmonary trunk and left pulmonary artery

Figure 8. Electrocardiographically-gated computer tomography coronary arteries angiography in a 59-year-old female patient diagnosed with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Axial plane view shows dilated, tortuous right bronchial artery (yellow marking)

segmental levels; scars and pulmonary infarctions(Figure 5); and pulmonary artery calcifications (Figure 6). Signs of PH included a pulmonary trunk to aorta diameter ratio above 1 (PT/AO index > 1) (Figure 7); enlarged bronchial arteries (Figure 8), measured as a diameter > 2 mm; enlarged or calcified lymph nodes, measured as 10 mm or more in the short axis; and pericardial effusion or pericardial thickening, measured as > 4 mm. Signs of right heart overload included a right ventricular to left ventricular diameter ratio above 1 (RV/LV ratio > 1) (Figure 9); reflux of contrast material into the inferior vena cava or hepatic veins (Figure 10); flattening or bowing of the interventricular septum (Figure 9); and right ventricular wall hypertrophy (Figure 9), measured as free wall thickness > 5 mm.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Numeric variables are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation due to normal distributions. Categorical variables are presented as n (%). Distribution normality was assessed with the Shapiro-Wilk test, skewness, and kurtosis. Variance homogeneity was assessed with Levene's test.



**Figure 9.** Electrocardiographically-gated computer tomography pulmonary angiography in a 73-year-old male patient diagnosed with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Multiplanar reconstruction 4-chamber sagittal view shows enlargement of the right ventricle with increased right ventricle/left ventricle ratio > 1; leftward flap of the interventricular septum

Comparisons of CTEPH group with other groups were performed with t-Student test, t-Welch test, Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. All *p*-values were further adjusted using Bonferroni correction to control for multiple comparisons. Predictive qualities of radiological parameters to classify patients between CTEPH and non-CTEPH were assessed with receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis. Area under the curve (AUC) was used as the primary measure of prognostic effectiveness, along with 95% confidence intervals (CI). High or very high effectiveness was considered if AUC > 0.8, moderate effectiveness was considered if AUC > 0.7. Positive likelihood ratio was calculated as sensitivity/(1-specificity). Negative likelihood ratio was calculated as (1-sensitivity)/ specificity. All outcomes were considered significant if p < p0.05. Calculations were performed in R software (R 4.1.2).



**Figure 10.** Electrocardiographically-gated computer tomography pulmonary angiography in a 61-year-old female patient diagnosed with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Multiplanar reconstruction sagittal view shows reflux of contrast to the inferior vena cava (yellow oval marking)

### Results

#### **Demographics**

In total, the study cohort comprised 115 patients, categorised into 4 groups: CTEPH (n = 35, 30.4%), CTED (n = 20, 17.4%), PAH (n = 24, 20.9%), and APE (n = 36, 31.3%). Table 1 provides an overview of the demographic characteristics for each group. No significant differences in age or sex distribution were observed across the groups. Right heart catheterisation was performed in all patients except those in the APE group. Patients with CTEPH demonstrated significantly elevated mPAP and PVR compared to those with CTED (mPAP: 38.00  $\pm$  12.25 mmHg

Variable	CTEPH CTE (n = 35, 30.4%) (n = 20,		'D  7.4%)	PAH ( <i>n</i> = 24, 2	0.9%)	APE ( <i>n</i> = 36, 31.3%)		
	Statistic	Statistic	<i>p</i> 1	Statistic	<i>p</i> <sub>2</sub>	Statistic	<b>p</b> 3	
Age (years)	$63.23 \pm 15.99$	64.12 ± 16.17	> 0.999	69.29 ± 11.98	0.602	71.44 ± 16.78	0.192	
Sex, female	17 (48.6)	10 (50.0)	> 0.999	17 (70.8)	0.761	19 (52.8)	> 0.999	
Catheterisation	35 (100.0)	20 (100.0)	> 0.999	24 (100.0)	0.999	0 (0.0)	< 0.001	
mPAP (mmHg)	38.00 ± 12.25	16.91 ± 1.92	< 0.001	36.92 ± 10.13	> 0.999	-	-	
PVR (WU)	5.13 ± 2.91	1.81 ± 0.63	0.001	6.67 ± 3.70	0.746	-	-	

Table 1. Comparison of demographic characteristics and catheterisation parameters between analysed groups

Data presented with mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for continuous variables and n (%) for categorical variables.

CTEPH – chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, CTEPD – chronic thromboembolic pulmonary disease, PAH - pulmonary arterial hypertension, APE – acute pulmonary embolism, mPAP – mean pulmonary artery pressure, PVR – pulmonary vascular resistance

 $p_1$  – comparison between CTEPH and CTEP group;  $p_2$  – comparison between CTEPH and PAH group;  $p_3$  – comparison between CTEPH and APE group. Comparisons performed with *t*-Student test or *t*-Welch test for continuous variables and Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, as appropriate. Bonferroni correction was used for all *p* values.

vs. 16.91 ± 1.92 mmHg, p < 0.001; PVR: 5.13 ± 2.91 WU vs. 1.81 ± 0.63 WU, p = 0.001).

# Radiological features of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension

Table 2 and Figure 11 summarise the radiological findings in patients with CTEPH.

#### Radiological signs of chronic pulmonary embolism

Among signs of chronic PE, vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs were observed in all 35 patients (100.0%), with localisation at the central level in 35 patients (100.0%), at the lobar level in 23 patients (65.7%), and at the segmental level in 14 patients (40.0%). Variability in the size of lobar and segmental arteries was found

fable 2. Radiological findings i	n patients with chronic throm	boembolic pulmonary hype	ertension (CTEPH) ( $N = 35$ )
----------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------

Variable	Patients with CTEPH, n (%)
Vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	35 (100.0)
Segmental vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	35 (100.0)
RV/LV ratio $\geq 1$	30 (85.7)
Variability in the size of lobar and segmental-level vessels	25 (71.4)
Lobar vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	23 (65.7)
Reflux of contrast to VCI	20 (57.1)
Pulmonary trunk/aorta index $\geq$ 1	19 (54.3)
Mosaic perfusion	19 (54.3)
Vessel occlusion	16 (45.7)
Pulmonary infarctions and scars	16 (45.7)
Flattening/flap of IV septum	15 (42.9)
Segmental vessel occlusion	14 (40.0)
Dilated bronchial arteries	13 (37.1)
Central vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	13 (37.1)
Enlarged/calcified mediastinal lymph nodes	10 (28.6)
Pericardial effusion /pericardial thickening	7 (20.0)
Pulmonary artery wall calcifications	6 (17.1)
RV wall hypertrophy	5 (14.3)
Lobar vessel occlusion	3 (8.6)
Central vessel occlusion	2 (5.7)



Figure 11. Incidence of radiological traits among patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (%)

in 25 patients (71.4%). Mosaic perfusion was identified in 19 patients (54.3%). Complete vessel obstruction was documented in 16 patients (45.7%), including 2 patients (5.7%) at the central level, 3 patients (8.6%) at the lobar level, and 14 patients (40.0%) at the segmental level. Scars and pulmonary infarctions were seen in 16 patients (45.7%), while pulmonary artery calcifications were noted in 6 patients (17.1%).

#### Radiological signs of pulmonary hypertension

Indicators of pulmonary hypertension included a pulmonary trunk to aortic (PT/AO) ratio > 1 in 19 patients (54.3%). Dilated bronchial arteries were found in 13 patients (37.1%). Enlarged or calcified mediastinal lymph nodes were observed in 10 patients (28.6%). Pericardial effusion or pericardial thickening was identified in 7 patients (20.0%).

#### Radiological signs of right heart failure

Markers of right heart failure included an RV/LV ratio  $\geq 1$  in 30 patients (85.7%). Reflux of contrast material into the

Table 3. Comparison of the frequency of radiological features between the groups

inferior vena cava or hepatic veins was present in 20 patients (57.1%). Flattening or bowing of the interventricular septum was observed in 15 patients (42.9%), and right ventricular wall hypertrophy was noted in 5 patients (14.3%).

# Comparison of the occurrence of radiological features between the groups

Table 3 presents a comparison of the frequency of radiological features between the groups.

# Comparison of radiological features between CTEPH and CTED

Pulmonary trunk to aorta index  $\ge 1$  was significantly more frequent in CTEPH compared to CTED (54.3% vs. 10.0%, p = 0.015). Flattening or bowing of the interventricular septum was also observed more often in CTEPH (42.9% vs. 5.0%, p = 0.038). Segmental vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs occurred in 100.0% of CTEPH cases and 75.0% of CTED cases, with a significant difference (p = 0.022). Variability in the size of lobar and segmental

Variable	СТЕРН	CTED		PAH		APE	
	Statistics	Statistics	р	Statistics	р	Statistics	р
Pulmonary trunk/aorta index $\geq 1$	19 (54.3)	2 (10.0)	0.015	10 (41.7)	> 0.999	4 (11.1)	0.001
Dilated bronchial arteries > 2 mm, intercostal arteries or arterial tortuosity	13 (37.1)	2 (10.0)	0.315	1 (4.2)	0.045	1 (2.8)	0.004
Enlarged/calcified mediastinal lymph nodes	10 (28.6)	1 (5.0)	0.210	11 (45.8)	> 0.999	2 (5.6)	0.116
Pericardial effusion/pericardial thickening > 4 mm	7 (20.0)	1 (5.0)	> 0.999	4 (16.7)	> 0.999	3 (8.3)	> 0.999
Flattening/flap of IV septum	15 (42.9)	1 (5.0)	0.038	9 (37.5)	> 0.999	5 (13.9)	0.072
RV/LV ratio $\geq 1$	30 (85.7)	11 (55.0)	0.141	18 (75.0)	> 0.999	17 (47.2)	0.007
RV wall hypertrophy, free wall thickness > 5 mm	5 (14.3)	0 (0.0)	0.733	4 (16.7)	> 0.999	0 (0.0)	0.125
Reflux of contrast to VCI	20 (57.1)	11 (55.0)	> 0.999	17 (70.8)	> 0.999	17 (47.2)	> 0.999
Vessel occlusion	16 (45.7)	6 (30.0)	> 0.999	0 (0.0)	0.002	36 (100.0)	< 0.001
Central vessel occlusion	2 (5.7)	1 (5.0)	> 0.999	0 (0.0)	> 0.999	10 (27.8)	0.153
Lobar vessel occlusion	3 (8.6)	1 (5.0)	> 0.999	0 (0.0)	> 0.999	21 (58.3)	< 0.001
Segmental vessel occlusion	14 (40.0)	4 (20.0)	> 0.999	0 (0.0)	0.006	36 (100.0)	< 0.001
Pulmonary arteries wall calcifications	6 (17.1)	1 (5.0)	> 0.999	0 (0.0)	0.360	0 (0.0)	0.057
Vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	35 (100.0)	17 (85.0)	0.217	0 (0.0)	< 0.001	0 (0.0)	< 0.001
Central vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	13 (37.1)	1 (5.0)	0.104	0 (0.0)	0.011	0 (0.0)	0.001
Lobar vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	23 (65.7)	7 (35.0)	0.275	0 (0.0)	< 0.001	0 (0.0)	< 0.001
Segmental vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	35 (100.0)	15 (75.0)	0.022	0 (0.0)	< 0.001	0 (0.0)	< 0.001
Variability in the size of lobar and segmental-level vessels	25 (71.4)	6 (30.0)	0.035	3 (12.5)	< 0.001	2 (5.6)	< 0.001
Mosaic perfusion	19 (54.3)	1 (5.0)	0.004	0 (0.0)	< 0.001	4 (11.1)	0.001
Scars and pulmonary infarctions	16 (45.7)	6 (30.0)	> 0.999	4 (16.7)	0.209	11 (30.6)	> 0.999

Data presented with n (%). p – comparison between CTEPH and respective control group. Comparisons performed with Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Bonferroni correction was used for all p-values.

vessels was more frequent in CTEPH (71.4% vs. 30.0%, p = 0.035). Mosaic perfusion was observed more frequently in CTEPH than in CTED (54.3% vs. 5.0%, p = 0.004).

#### Comparison of radiological features between CTEPH and PAH

Dilated bronchial arteries were more common in CTEPH than in PAH (37.1% vs. 4.2%, p = 0.045). Both general and segmental vessel occlusions were observed exclusively in CTEPH (45.7% and 40.0%, respectively) and were absent in PAH, with significant differences (p = 0.002 and p = 0.006). Vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs were universally present in CTEPH but absent in PAH, with significant differences (p < 0.001 for general, lobar, and segmental locations; p = 0.011 for central). Variability in the size of lobar and segmental vessels was significantly more frequent in CTEPH (71.4% vs. 12.5%, p < 0.001). Mosaic perfusion was exclusive to CTEPH (54.3%, p < 0.001).

#### Comparison of radiological features between CTEPH and APE

The PT/AO index  $\geq$  1 was more frequent in CTEPH compared to APE (54.3% vs. 11.1%, *p* = 0.001). Dilated bronchial arteries were also more common in CTEPH (37.1% vs. 2.8%, p = 0.004). RV/LV ratio  $\geq 1$  was significantly more frequent in CTEPH (85.7% vs. 47.2%, p = 0.007). General, lobar, and segmental vessel occlusions were significantly less frequent in CTEPH than in APE (45.7% vs. 100.0%, 8.6% vs. 58.3%, and 40.0% vs. 100.0%, respectively; p < 0.001 for all). Vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs occurred universally in CTEPH but were absent in APE, with significant differences ( $p \le 0.001$ ). Variability in the size of lobar and segmental vessels was significantly more frequent in CTEPH (71.4% vs. 5.6%, p < 0.001). Mosaic perfusion was significantly more frequent in CTEPH (54.3% vs. 11.1%, *p* = 0.001).

Table 4. Radiological parameters as	diagnostic tests for chronic thromboem	bolic pulmonary hypertens	ion (CTEPH) vs. non-CTEPH
	5	1 / //	. ,

Variable	AUC (95% CI)	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	Accuracy	LR+	LR-	р
Segmental vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	0.906 (0.863-0.950)	1.00	0.81	0.70	1.00	0.87	5.26	0.00	< 0.001
Vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	0.894 (0.850-0.938)	1.00	0.79	0.67	1.00	0.85	4.76	0.00	< 0.001
Variability in the size of lobar and segmental-level vessels	0.788 (0.703-0.871)	0.71	0.86	0.69	0.87	0.82	05.07	0.34	< 0.001
Lobar vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	0.785 (0.699-0.864)	0.66	0.91	0.77	0.86	0.83	7.33	0.37	< 0.001
Mosaic perfusion	0.740 (0.654-0.824)	0.54	0.94	0.79	0.82	0.82	9.00	0.49	< 0.001
Central vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs	0.679 (0.600-0.759)	0.37	0.99	0.93	0.78	0.80	37.00	0.64	< 0.001
Pulmonary trunk/aorta index $\geq$ 1	0.671 (0.575-0.762)	0.54	0.80	0.54	0.80	0.72	2.70	0.58	< 0.001
Dilated bronchial arteries	0.661 (0.580-0.746)	0.37	0.95	0.76	0.78	0.77	7.40	0.66	< 0.001
RV/LV ratio $\geq 1$	0.641 (0.559-0.720)	0.86	0.42	0.39	0.87	0.56	1.48	0.33	0.002
Flattening/flap of IV septum	0.621 (0.526-0.715)	0.43	0.81	0.50	0.76	0.70	2.26	0.70	0.008
Pulmonary infarctions and scars	0.597 (0.499-0.689)	0.46	0.74	0.43	0.76	0.65	1.77	0.73	0.043
Paa wall calcifications	0.579 (0.518-0.645)	0.17	0.99	0.86	0.73	0.74	17.00	0.84	0.002
Enlarged/calcified mediastinal lymph nodes	0.555 (0.471-0.637)	0.29	0.82	0.42	0.73	0.66	1.61	0.87	0.188
Pericardial effusion/pericardial thickening	0.550 (0.479-0.626)	0.20	0.90	0.47	0.72	0.69	2.00	0.89	0.156
Segmental vessel occlusion	0.550 (0.451-0.646)	0.60	0.50	0.34	0.74	0.53	1.20	0.80	0.321
RV wall hypertrophy	0.546 (0.491-0.610)	0.14	0.95	0.56	0.72	0.70	2.80	0.91	0.103
Vessel occlusion	0.534 (0.433-0.632)	0.54	0.52	0.33	0.72	0.53	1.13	0.88	0.503
Dilated pulmonary trunk > 29 mm	0.528 (0.428-0.627)	0.54	0.51	0.33	0.72	0.52	1.10	0.90	0.585
Reflux of contrast to VCI	0.504 (0.408-0.605)	0.57	0.44	0.31	0.70	0.48	01.02	0.98	0.929
Central vessel occlusion*	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lobar vessel occlusion*	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-

p – significance of difference between AUC for given parameters and AUC = 0.5 (equal to random classifier).

\*AUC < 0.5.

AUC - area under curve, CI - confidence interval, LR + - positive likelihood ratio, LR - - negative likelihood ratio, NPV - negative predictive value, PPV - positive predictive value

# Radiological parameters as diagnostic tests for CTEPH vs. non-CTEPH conditions

Table 4 summarizes the predictive value of radiological features in ROC analysis, expressed as AUC, sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, PPV, and NPV.

Segmental and general vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs demonstrated high prognostic properties for predicting CTEPH, with AUC values of 0.906 (95% CI: 0.863-0.950, p < 0.001) and 0.894 (95% CI: 0.850-0.938, p < 0.001), respectively (Figure 12). Moderate predictive value was observed for variability in the size of lobar and segmental vessels, lobar vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs, as well as mosaic perfusion. These features showed AUC values of 0.788 (95% CI: 0.703-0.871, p < 0.001), 0.785 (95% CI: 0.699-0.864, p < 0.001), and 0.740 (95% CI: 0.654-0.824, p < 0.001), respectively (Figure 13). Other radiological parameters had either lower prognostic properties (AUC < 0.7) or yielded insignificant outcomes (p > 0.05).

The highest sensitivity was observed for segmental and general vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs (1.00 for both) and for RV/LV ratio  $\geq$  1 (0.86). High specificity (> 0.90) was noted for central vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs (0.99); pulmonary artery wall calcifications (0.99); dilated bronchial arteries, intercostal arteries, or arterial tortuosity (0.95); mosaic perfusion (0.94); and lobar vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs (0.91).

### Discussion

This retrospective study provides a comprehensive analysis of the frequency and diagnostic utility of CTPA signs in patients with documented CTEPH, compared with groups with confirmed CTED, APE, and PAH. By evaluating the sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value of these signs through ROC analysis, our findings highlight key imaging characteristics that may aid in differentiating CTEPH from other pulmonary vascular disorders.

The results of our study showed that the most common radiologic features, observed in all patients (100%) with CTEPH, were vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs. These findings were most frequently located at the segmental level (100% of patients), where they were significantly less common in CTED, PAH, and APE, demonstrating the highest prognostic value in the ROC analysis for distinguishing CTEPH from these non-CTEPH conditions, with an AUC of 0.906. These features were less frequently observed at the lobar level (65.7%) and were rarest at the central level (37.1%), where they did not differ statistically from CTED. At the lobar and central levels, these features demonstrated moderate (AUC of 0.785) and low (AUC of 0.679) prognostic value in the ROC analysis, respectively. These findings align with the chronic nature of CTEPH, which results from partial filling defects caused by organized thrombus or recanalization within a large thrombus [9]. Our findings are consistent with those of Fathala et al. [3], who reported that





Figure 12. ROC curve of vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs by location as predictors of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension

**Figure 13.** ROC curve of variability in the size of lobar and segmental-level vessels and mosaic perfusion as predictors of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension

the most frequent CTPA findings in central pulmonary arteries and peripheral arteries were thrombotic material and abnormal vessel narrowing. Additionally, our results confirm the observations by Grosse et al. [14], whose study identified direct vascular signs of chronic PE - including abrupt vessel cutoffs, wall-adherent thrombi, intraluminal webs or bands, stenoses, and wall irregularities - as significantly more common in patients with CTEPH than in those with non-thromboembolic PH. These features were critical for differentiating CTEPH from other causes of PH. Moreover, the InShape III study, which evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of dedicated CTPA readings in cases of suspected acute PE, demonstrated that the presence of intravascular webs serves as an independent predictor for a future diagnosis of CTEPH [16]. In our study, these signs were most frequently observed at the segmental and lobar levels, consistent with previous findings [8,17]. Identifying changes, particularly at the segmental level, is crucial for accurate pre-operative classification because this enables optimal surgical planning. Misdiagnosis in such cases can lead to challenging post-operative management due to residual PH [18].

A moderate prognostic ratio was established for variability in the size of lobar and segmental arteries and mosaic perfusion (AUC of 0.789 and 0.740, respectively) for predicting CTEPH. Both features were also significantly less common in the CTED, PAH, and APE groups. Although variability in the size of lobar and segmental arteries was observed more frequently (71.4) than mosaic perfusion (54.3) in patients with CTEPH, the latter had higher specificity (0.94 vs. 0.86). Both of these radiological features are indirect signs of chronic thromboembolism and serve as useful indicators for identifying the locations of direct vascular abnormalities [12] reflecting an irregular distribution of emboli within the pulmonary lobes [14] or indicating regional hypoperfusion [19]. Our findings point to similar conclusions as previous studies that highlighted the importance of these radiological features in differentiating CTEPH from other conditions. In the study by Capone et al. [15], mosaic attenuation was significantly less common in patients with CTED compared to those with CTEPH. Furthermore, patients with CTED exhibited significantly smaller perfusion defect extents on iodine maps, which, as the authors suggested, strongly indicates that the absence of peripheral disease (i.e. small vessel remodeling) may explain the lack of pulmonary hypertension in CTED patients compared to those with CTEPH. Moreover, similarly to the studies by Bergin *et al.* [20] and Grosse et al. [14], our study demonstrates equivalent results confirming that the combination of segmental vessel size variability and mosaic perfusion are highly specific for CTEPH [20] and occur at significantly higher frequencies in patients with CTEPH than in those with PAH and other forms of non-thromboembolic PH [14].

Another radiological sign demonstrating moderate diagnostic accuracy in our study was enlarged bronchial

arteries, which were observed in 37.1% of patients with CTEPH, whereas they were significantly less frequent in patients with CTED, PAH, and APE, with a high specificity of 95% and an AUC of 0.661 (95% CI: 0.580-0.746). Bronchial arterial flow increases in response to chronic obstruction of the pulmonary arteries, compensating reduced pulmonary artery circulation [8]. Normally, bronchial arterial blood flow constitutes approximately 1-2% of cardiac output and primarily serves a nutritional function. However, in patients with CTEPH, bronchial arterial flow can account for up to 30% of systemic blood flow and contributes to oxygenation [21,22]. Although dilated bronchial arteries are not specific to CTEPH and can also occur in other forms of pulmonary hypertension [16], our findings showed a higher frequency of abnormally enlarged bronchial arteries in CTEPH compared with PAH, leading to similar results as seen in previous studies. For example, in the study by Grosse et al. [14], 69% of patients with CTEPH and 21% of those with PAH had enlarged bronchial arteries; moreover, the study by Remy-Jardin et al. [23] demonstrated a higher prevalence of abnormally enlarged bronchial arteries, as well as nonbronchial systemic arteries, in patients with CTEPH compared with those with primary pulmonary hypertension. Furthermore, unilateral or bilateral bronchial dilation without bronchial wall thickening was identified as the most specific finding to distinguish CTEPH from nonthromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, with a specificity of 97.1%.

Complete vessel obstruction was observed in 45.7% of CTEPH cases, predominantly at the segmental level (40%), and significantly less frequently at the lobar (8.6%) and central (5.7%) levels. Although this sign was absent in PAH, it is common in both CTEPH and APE, showing moderate specificity (50%) and limited effectiveness (AUC 0.550) as an isolated predictor of CTEPH. However, it gains diagnostic value when evaluated alongside other radiologic signs. A key distinguishing feature is the shape of the obstruction. In APE, complete obstruction typically shows a concave contour within the contrast material due to the trailing edge of the thrombus. Conversely, chronic PE (as seen in CTEPH) is characterised by a convex margin relative to the contrast material, creating a 'pouch' defect. This difference arises from thrombus contraction in chronic PE. Additionally, a decrease in the diameter of the vessel distal to the complete obstruction is often visible on CTPA [24,25]. Our findings align with those of Capone et al. [15], who demonstrated that the vascular obstruction burden in CTED was similar to that in CTEPH. However, unlike our study, Capone et al. reported that proximal arterial obstruction was more frequently observed in CTED patients compared to those with CTEPH. This discrepancy warrants further investigation to better understand its implications.

Signs of right heart overload are commonly observed in CTEPH, resulting from increased pulmonary artery pressure [8,9]. In our study, cardiac radiological signs including RV/LV ratio > 1, septal flattening, contrast reflux into the inferior vena cava, and RV hypertrophy - demonstrated low predictive value in ROC analysis, with AUC values of 0.641, 0.621, 0.504, and 0.546, respectively. These findings reflect the general manifestation of elevated right ventricular afterload rather than disease specificity [8]. The most frequent cardiac sign, and the second most common feature identified in CTEPH cases, was an RV/LV ratio > 1 (observed in 85.7%), indicative of right heart chamber enlargement. This feature is a marker of advanced disease and is suggestive of CTEPH [3,26]. Our results overlap with those of Fathala's study, which also identified an RV/LV ratio > 1 as the most common cardiac feature (69%) in patients with CTEPH [2]. Although not exclusive to CTEPH, this feature - when combined with evidence of right ventricular hypertrophy (14.3%) and septal flattening (42.9%) - enhances the diagnostic specificity of CTEPH, aiding in its differentiation from CTED [15]. Despite the fact that in cases of APE, CTPA signs of right ventricular overload are frequently present [24], these findings in some cases may indicate that CTEPH was already present at the time of the initial PE diagnosis and remained unrecognised due to a lack of targeted evaluation. Which supports the concept of an "acute-on-chronic" diagnosis [16]. Furthermore, these parameters may serve as potential indicators of an increased risk of developing CTEPH in the future, emphasising the need for careful follow-up in such patients [16].

Pulmonary scars and infarctions, detected in 45.7% of CTEPH cases, were less common across CTED (30.0%; p > 0.999), PAH (16.7%; p = 0.209), and APE (26.2%; p = 0.330), but these differences were not statistically significant. Although less specific than other features, and demonstrating low predictive value (AUC = 0.597), these findings likely reflect a history of prior pulmonary ischaemic events, consistent with the chronic nature of CTEPH [8]. Pulmonary scars are commonly found in areas of reduced lung attenuation. They are typically multiple, predominantly located in the lower lung lobes, and often associated with pleural thickening [23].

Pulmonary artery calcifications are a rare finding associated with chronic organising thrombi [27]. We obtained got similar results in our study, where calcifications were observed only in 17.1% of CTEPH cases and 5% of CTED cases, and they were absent in the APE and PAH groups. Although their presence markedly enhances diagnostic specificity (specificity in CTEPH of 99%), indicating a chronic embolic process, they do not allow differentiation between CTEPH and CTED, resulting in a low predictive value (AUC = 0.579). Pulmonary trunk enlargement and PT/AO diameter ratio > 1 were present in 54.3% of CTEPH cases. While these features serve as general markers of pulmonary hypertension [19], they lacked specificity for CTEPH, with AUC values of 0.528 and 0.671, respectively. However, the higher prevalence of the PT/AO ratio in CTEPH compared to APE (11.1%) and CTED (10%) may aid in differential diagnosis, particularly when other CTEPH-specific changes are identified. Additionally, central pulmonary arteries in patients with CTEPH are often asymmetric in size, in contrast to the symmetric pulmonary artery enlargement observed in non-thromboembolic pulmonary artery hypertension [25,28].

Other features, such as enlarged or calcified mediastinal lymph nodes (28.6% of CTEPH cases) and pericardial effusion or thickening (20%) showed no significant differences compared to CTED, PH, and APE. Individually, these features demonstrated lower specificity, with AUC values of 0.555 and 0.550, respectively. However, the presence of pericardial effusion may indicate severe pulmonary hypertension [29] and is associated with a worse prognosis [30].

### Conclusions

Our retrospective study showed the utility of CTPA as a comprehensive diagnostic tool for identifying CTEPH and differentiating it from other conditions affecting pulmonary arteries. Among the most significant findings observed in patients with CTEPH were vessel narrowing, intimal irregularities, bands, and webs demonstrating the highest predictive value for CTEPH, particularly at the segmental level. Variability in the size of lobar and segmental arteries, mosaic perfusion, and complete vessel obstruction also exhibited moderate predictive value. Notably, no single finding provided 100% predictive value, underscoring the importance of a multifaceted approach to diagnosis. Radiologists must be familiar with this limitation and recognise that the most reliable way to diagnose CTEPH is by integrating multiple vascular, parenchymal, and cardiac findings.

#### Disclosures

- 1. Institutional review board statement: The study was approved by the Bioethics Committee. Approval number: AKBE/290/2024.
- 2. Assistance with the article: None.
- 3. Financial support and sponsorship: None.
- 4. Conflicts of interest: None.

#### References

- Ruaro B, Baratella E, Caforio G, Confalonieri P, Wade B, Marrocchio C, et al. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: an update. Diagnostics (Basel) 2022; 12: 235. DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics12020235.
- Humbert M, Kovacs G, Hoeper MM, Badagliacca R, Berger RMF, Brida M, et al.; ESC/ERS Scientific Document Group. 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J 2023; 61: 2200879. DOI: 10.1183/13993003.00879-2022.
- Fathala A, Aldurabi A. Frequency of computed tomography abnormalities in patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a comparative study between lung perfusion scan and computed tomography pulmonary angiography. Multidiscip Respir Med 2021; 16: 753. DOI: 10.4081/mrm.2021.753.
- Gopalan D, Delcroix M, Held M. Diagnosis of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir Rev 2017; 26: 160108. DOI: 10.1183/16000617.0108-2016.
- Zhang Y, Yu X, Jin Q, Luo Q, Zhao Z, Zhao Q, et al. Advances in targeted therapy for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Heart Fail Rev 2019; 24: 949-965.
- Gopalan D, Blanchard D, Auger WR. Diagnostic evaluation of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2016; 13 Suppl 3: S222-S239. DOI: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201509-623AS.
- Ciurzyński M, Kurzyna M, Kopeć G, Błaszczak P, Chrzanowski Ł, Kamiński K, et al. An expert opinion of the Polish Cardiac Society Working Group on Pulmonary Circulation on screening for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension patients after acute pulmonary embolism: update. Kardiol Pol 2022; 80: 723-732.
- Castañer E, Gallardo X, Ballesteros E, Andreu M, Pallardó Y, Mata JM, et al. CT diagnosis of chronic pulmonary thromboembolism. Radiographics 2009; 29: 31-50; discussion 50-53.
- Willemink MJ, van Es HW, Koobs L, Morshuis WJ, Snijder RJ, van Heesewijk JP. CT evaluation of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Clin Radiol 2012; 67: 277-285.
- Nishiyama KH, Saboo SS, Tanabe Y, Jasinowodolinski D, Landay MJ, Kay FU. Chronic pulmonary embolism: diagnosis. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther 2018; 8: 253-271.
- Rogberg AN, Gopalan D, Westerlund E, Lindholm P. Do radiologists detect chronic thromboembolic disease on computed tomography? Acta Radiol 2019; 60: 1576-1583.
- Wittram C, Kalra MK, Maher MM, Greenfield A, McLoud TC, Shepard JA. Acute and chronic pulmonary emboli: angiography-CT correlation. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2006; 186 (6 Suppl 2): S421-S429. DOI: 10.2214/AJR.04.1955.
- Lambert L, Michalek P, Burgetova A. The diagnostic performance of CT pulmonary angiography in the detection of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension-systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Radiol 2022; 32: 7927-7935.
- Grosse A, Grosse C, Lang IM. Distinguishing chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension from other causes of pulmonary hypertension using CT. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2017; 209: 1228-1238.
- Capone C, Valentini A, Spinillo SL, Klersy C, Celentano A, Pin M, et al. Radiological differences between chronic thromboembolic pulmonary disease (CTEPD) and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH). Eur Radiol 2021; 31: 6230-6238.

- 16. Ende-Verhaar YM, Meijboom LJ, Kroft LJM, Beenen LFM, Boon GJAM, Middeldorp S, et al. Usefulness of standard computed tomography pulmonary angiography performed for acute pulmonary embolism for identification of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: results of the InShape III study. J Heart Lung Transplant 2019; 38: 731-738.
- Auger WR, Fedullo PF, Moser KM, Buchbinder M, Peterson KL. Chronic major-vessel thromboembolic pulmonary artery obstruction: appearance at angiography. Radiology 1992; 182: 393-398.
- McInnis MC, Wang D, Donahoe L, Granton J, Thenganatt J, Tan K, et al. Importance of computed tomography in defining segmental disease in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. ERJ Open Res 2020; 6: 00461-2020. DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00461-2020.
- King MA, Bergin CJ, Yeung DW, Belezzouli EE, Olson LK, Ashburn WL, et al. Chronic pulmonary thromboembolism: detection of regional hypoperfusion with CT. Radiology 1994; 191: 359-363.
- Bergin CJ, Rios G, King MA, Belezzuoli E, Luna J, Auger WR. Accuracy of high-resolution CT in identifying chronic pulmonary thromboembolic disease. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1996; 166: 1371-1377.
- 21. Endrys J, Hayat N, Cherian G. Comparison of bronchopulmonary collaterals and collateral blood flow in patients with chronic thromboembolic and primary pulmonary hypertension. Heart 1997; 78: 171-176.
- 22. Ley S, Kreitner KF, Morgenstern I, Thelen M, Kauczor HU. Bronchopulmonary shunts in patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: evaluation with helical CT and MR imaging. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2002; 179: 1209-1215.
- 23. Remy-Jardin M, Duhamel A, Deken V, Bouaziz N, Dumont P, Remy J. Systemic collateral supply in patients with chronic thromboembolic and primary pulmonary hypertension: assessment with multi-detector row helical CT angiography. Radiology 2005; 235: 274-281.
- 24. van der Bijl N, Klok FA, Huisman MV, van Rooden JK, Mertens BJA, de Roos A, et al. Measurement of right and left ventricular function by ECG-synchronized CT scanning in patients with acute pulmonary embolism: usefulness for predicting short-term outcome. Chest 2011; 140: 1008-1015.
- 25. Ng CS, Wells AU, Padley SP. A CT sign of chronic pulmonary arterial hypertension: the ratio of main pulmonary artery to aortic diameter. J Thorac Imaging 1999; 14: 270-278.
- Chan AL, Juarez MM, Shelton DK, MacDonald T, Li CS, Lin TC, et al. Novel computed tomographic chest metrics to detect pulmonary hypertension. BMC Med Imaging 2011; 11: 7. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2342-11-7.
- 27. Cummings KW, Bhalla S. Multidetector computed tomographic pulmonary angiography: beyond acute pulmonary embolism. Radiol Clin North Am 2010; 48: 51-65.
- King MA, Ysrael M, Bergin CJ. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: CT findings. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1998; 170: 955-960.
- Baque-Juston MC, Wells AU, Hansell DM. Pericardial thickening or effusion in patients with pulmonary artery hypertension: a CT study. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1999; 172: 361-364.
- Raymond RJ, Hinderliter AL, Willis PW, Ralph D, Caldwell EJ, Williams W, et al. Echocardiographic predictors of adverse outcomes in primary pulmonary hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002; 39: 1214-1219.